UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,513	04/13/2006	Olof Wallquist	HC122966APCT	3916	
<sup>324</sup> JoAnn Villamiz	7590 08/04/200 <b>:ar</b>	9	EXAM	INER	
Ciba Corporation 540 White Plair	on/Patent Department		PIHONAK, SARAH		
P.O. Box 2005	is Koau		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tarrytown, NY	10591		1617		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/04/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

andrea.dececchis@ciba.com deborah.pinori@ciba.com sonny.nkansa@basf.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Notice of Abandonment 10/575,513 WALLQUIS		)F
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SARAH PIHONAK	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ess
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	), which is after the ex	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which place	es the
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper reply,	to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:         <ul> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li> <li>), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Trans	smission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	<u>_</u> .
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
<ul> <li>3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>			
after the expiration of the period for reply.			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire inte	erest, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity unde	er 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seekir	ng court review
7. X The reason(s) below:			
See continuation			
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617	/S. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 1617		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be pro	omptly filed to

On 7/28/2009, Applicant's representative, Sheila Loggins, was contacted. No response had been received for office action dated 12/8/2008. The Applicant's representative stated that the application had been abandoned